

Measure 1: Impact on P-12 Learning and Development and Indicators of Teaching Effectiveness

Completer classroom application of professional knowledge, skills, and dispositions are reported by classroom observations conducted during pre-student teaching and student teaching using the PDE-430. Additionally, P-12 student perception surveys are collected during student teaching and reported through the Teacher Work Sample.

Pre-student teachers are assessed twice by their University Supervisor during their pre-student teaching placement. Results are indicated in Table 1. For initial certification, each student teacher is formally observed at least three times to assess their preparedness for the classroom during their student teaching experience. Results for student teaching are presented in Table 2. Please note that candidates seeking dual early childhood/special education have two final evaluation scores, one in a PreK-4 placement and one in a special education placement. Written evaluations for both pre-student and student teachers are conducted by the University Supervisor using the Statewide Evaluation Form for Student Professional Knowledge PDE 430. Program completers' ratings indicate that students demonstrate superior to exemplary performance in teaching effectiveness. Results are not disaggregated by program in this document to preserve confidentiality given the small sample sizes within programs.

# Table 1. Pre-Student Teaching Cooperating Teacher Interim PDE-430 Ratings 2021-22Early Childhood, Early Childhood/Special Education, Middle Level, BCIT, and Secondary EducationUndergraduate and Post-Baccalaureate

PDE-430	Academic Year	Tot al	Unsatisfacto ry (0)			tisfacto y (1)		Superio r (2)		emplary (3)	Μ	SD
		n	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
	2021-2022	28	0	0	2	7.1	5	17	21	75	2.68	.6
Category I. Planning	2020-2021	26	0	0	0	0	8	29.8	18	69.2	2.7	.47
and Preparation	2019-2020	29	0	0	1	3.4	10	3.4	18	6.2	2.6	
	2018-2019	18	0	0	1	5.6	4	2.2	13	7.2	2.7	
	2017-2018	18	1	5.6	3	16.7	5	27.8	9	50.0	2.2	.94
	2021-2022	28	0	0	1	3	10	35	17	61	2.57	.56

Category II.	2020-2021	26	0	0	0	0	7	26.9	19	73.1	2.7	.45
	2019-2020	29	0	0	2	6.9	9	31.0	18	62.1	2.6	
Classroom Environment	2018-2019	18	0	0	2	1.1	6	3.3	10	5.6	2.4	
	2017-2018	18	0	0	1	5.6	9	50.0	8	44.4	2.4	.60
	2021-2022	28	0	0	2	7	11	39	15	54	2.46	.62
Category III. Instructiona l Delivery	2020-2021	26	0	0	0	0	14	53.8	12	46.2	2.5	.50
	2019-2020	29	1	3.4	1	3.4	15	5.2	12	4.1	2.3	
	2018-2019	18	0	0	1	5.6	8	4.4	9	0.5	2.4	
	2017-2018	18	0	0	4	22.2	6	33.3	8	44.4	2.2	.81
	2021-2022	28	0	0	2	7	2	7	24	86	2.79	.55
Category IV. Professionalis m	2020-2021	26	0	0	0	0	6	23.1	20	76.9	2.8	.43
	2019-2020	29	0	0	2	06.9	4	13.8	23	79.3	2.7	
	2018-2019	18	0	0	0	0	3	16.7	15	83.3	2.8	
	2017-2018	18	0	0	4	22.2	2	11.1	12	66.7	2.4	.85

	2021-2022	28	0	0	3	11	13	46	12	43	2.26	.6
Total	2020-2021	26	0	0	0	0	16	61.5	10	38.5	2.38	.50
	2019-2020	29	1	0.9	6	5.2	38	32.8	71	61.2	2.5	
	2018-2019	18	0	0	4	05.6	21	29.2	47	65.3	2.9	
	2017-2018	18	1	1.4	12	16.7	22	30.6	37	51.4	2.3	.80

### Table 2 Student Teaching PDE-430 Ratings Fall 2017–Spring 2022 Early Childhood, Early Childhood/Special Education, Middle Level, BCIT, and Secondary Education Undergraduate and Post-Baccalaureate

	Academic Year	Total		sfactory 0)	Satisfactory (1)		Superior (2)		Exemplary (3)		
PDE-430		n	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	М
	2021-22	36	0	0	1	2	6	16	29	81	2.77
	2020-21	24	0	0	0	0	4	16.7	20	83.3	2.83
	2019-20	27	0	0	1	3.7	2	7.4	24	88.9	2.85
Category I. Planning and Preparation	2018-19	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	100.0	3.0
	2017–18	17	0	0	1	5.88	0	0	16	94.12	2.88
	2016–17	35	0	0	0	0	2	5.71	33	94.29	2.94
	2015–16	41	0	0	0	0	3	7.32	38	92.68	2.93
Category II.	2021-22	36	0	0	0	0	6	17	30	83	2.83
Classroom Environment	2020-21	24	0	0	0	0	3	12.5	21	87.5	2.88
	2019-20	27	0	0	0	0	4	14.8	23	85.2	2.85
	2018-19	17	0	0	0	0	2	11.8	15	88.2	2.88
	2017–18	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	100.0	3.0
	2016–17	35	0	0	1	2.86	1	2.86	33	94.29	2.91

	2015–16	41	0	0	1	2.44	1	2.44	39	95.12	2.93
Category III. Instructional Delivery	2021-22	36	0	0	0	0	10	28	26	72	2.71
	2020-21	24	0	0	0	0	2	8.33	22	91.67	2.92
						1					1
	2019-20	27	0	0	0	0	7	25.9	20	74.1	2.74
	2018-19	17	0	0	0	0	3	17.6	14	82.4	2.82
	2017–18	17	0	0	0	0	5	29.41	12	70.59	2.71
	2016–17	35	0	0	1	2.86	1	2.86	33	94.3	2.91
	2015–16	41	0	0	1	2.44	1	2.44	39	95.12	2.93
Catagory IV	2021-22	36	0	0	0	0	3	8	33	92	2.9
Category IV. Professional- ism	2020-21	24	0	0	1	4.17	1	4.17	22	91.67	2.88
	2019-20	27	0	0	0	0	2	7.4	25	92.6	2.93
	2018-19	17	0	0	0	0	1	5.9	16	94.1	2.94
	2017–18	17	0	0	0	0	2	11.76	15	88.24	2.72
	2016–17	35	0	0	1	2.86	1	2.86	33	94.29	2.88
	2015–16	41	0	0	1	2.44	2	4.88	38	92.68	2.90

As part of the teacher work sample candidates administer a student survey. Candidates report and reflect on the results. Candidates are evaluated on this as part of the TWS and results are presented below.

## Table 3

Student Teaching Self Evaluation Reflections: Fall 2015–Spring 2022 Early Childhood, Early Childhood/Special Education, Middle Level, BCIT, and Secondary Education Undergraduate and Post-Baccalaureate

	1 0		Fall 16 – Fall 17 – Spring 17 Spring 18		Fall	19-Spring 20	Fall 2 21	20-Spring	Fall21-Spring 22	
	n	М	n	М	n	М	n	Μ	n	Μ
Self-Evaluations: Reflections	42	2.86	35	2.89	18	2.61	22	2.63	33	3.0

Advanced Programs: Results are not reported for all advanced programs when the sample size is small, therefore disaggregated results are not presented for external constituents but are available for CAEP reporting purposes.

## Table 4. University Supervisor PDE-430 Ratings - Fall 2015–Spring 2021 Master of Education in Special Education

	Academic Year	Total	Unsa	tisfactory (0)	Sat	Satisfactory (1)		uperior (2)	Exemplar y (3)		
PDE-430		n	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	М
Category I. Planning and Preparation	2021-22	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2020-21	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2019-20	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2018-19	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2017–18	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2016–17	6	0	0%	0	0%	3	50%	3	50%	2.5
	2015–16	7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%	3.0
Category II. Classroom Environment	2021-22	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2020-21	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2019-20	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

					1		1		1		
	2018-19	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2017–18	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2016–17	6	0	0%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	3	50%	2.3
	2015–16	7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%	3.0
Category III. Instructional Delivery	2021-22	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Instructional Derivery	2020-21	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2019-20	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2018-19	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2017–18	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2016–17	6	0	0%	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	2	33.3%	2.2
	2015–16	7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%	3.0
Category IV. Professional-	2021-22	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ism	2020-21	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2019-20	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2018-19	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2017–18	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2016–17	6	0	0%	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	2	33.3%	2.2
	2015–16	7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%	3.0

\* due to small n, data not presented to external constituents

Note: Masters of Special Education program discontinued as of Fall 2021

## **Reading Specialist Program**

Students enrolled in the reading specialist program conduct their practicum on site at the RMU Reading Clinic. During this experience they are observed by a faculty member who completes a Summative Evaluation Rubric. The assessment is based on the International Reading Association Standards. Results of the Practicum Summative Evaluation Rubric are presented below and indicate that all students meet expectations.

	Fall 17–Spring 18 (n=1)		Fall 18-Sp (n=6	0	Fall 19-Spi (n=4		Fall 20- 21	Spring (n=12)
	Meets Expectation	Does Not Meet Expectatio n	Meets Expectation	Does Not Meet Expectatio n	Meets Expectation	Does Not Meet Expectatio n	Meets Expectation	Does Not Meet Expectatio n
Outcome 1	*	*	100%		100%		100%	
Candidates understand major theories and empirical research								
Outcome 2 Use foundational knowledge to design or implement an integrated, comprehensive, and balanced curriculum	*	*	100%		100%		100%	
Outcome 3	*	*	100%		100%		100%	
Candidates understand the types of assessment and their purposes, strengths, and limitations								
Outcome 4 Candidates recognize, understand, and value the forms of diversity that exist in society and their importance in learning to read and write.	*	*	100%		100%		100%	
Outcome 5 Candidates design the physical environment to optimize students' use of traditional print, digital, and online resources in reading and writing instruction	*	*	100%		100%		100%	

\* due to small n, data not presented to external constituents

Principal Program The university liaison evaluates the principal candidate on their clinical experiences using a summative Rubric and scores for 2019-2021 are presented below.

Table 5. Scores on the Final Evaluation of Intern's Experiences and Achievements for Principal Residents

	2019-2020 (n=8)	2020-2021 (n=3)
I.Strategic/ Cultural Leadership (Domains: Organizational Leadership and Instruction & Assessment)	3.0	3.0
II.Systems Leadership (Domains: Teacher Evaluation, School Law, and Budgets)	3.0	3.0
III.Leadership for Learning (Domain: Standards Aligned Systems)	3.0	3.0
IV. Professional and Community Leadership (Domains: Collaboration & Communication and School Community Relations)	3.0	3.0
Leadership Observation & Practice Rating (Rating in each domain x 25%, totaled)	3.0	3.0